Iodine deficiency disorders (IDD) control in India
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Iodine deficiency disorders (IDD) constitute the single largest cause of preventable brain damage worldwide. Majority of consequences of IDD are invisible and irreversible but at the same time these are preventable. In India, the entire population is prone to IDD due to deficiency of iodine in the soil of the subcontinent and consequently the food derived from it. To combat the risk of IDD, salt is fortified with iodine. However, an estimated 350 million people do not consume adequately iodized salt and, therefore, are at risk for IDD. Of the 325 districts surveyed in India so far, 263 are IDD-endemic. The current household level iodized salt coverage in India is 91 per cent with 71 per cent households consuming adequately iodized salt. The IDD control goal in India was to reduce the prevalence of IDD below 10 per cent in the entire country by 2012. What is required is a “mission approach” with greater coordination amongst all stakeholders of IDD control efforts in India. Mainstreaming of IDD control in policy making, devising State specific action plans to control IDD, strict implementation of Food Safety and Standards (FSS) Act, 2006, addressing inequities in iodized salt coverage (rural-urban, socio-economic), providing iodized salt in Public Distribution System, strengthening monitoring and evaluation of IDD programme and ensuring sustainability of IDD control activities are essential to achieve sustainable elimination of IDD in India.
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Introduction

Food and nutrition security at the individual and population levels is a fundamental right. Nutrition security ensures optimal actualization of human resources and overall progress and development of a society and nation. Malnutrition (both under- and overnutrition) is currently one of the biggest challenges being faced by the modern world. Micronutrient deficiencies, more commonly referred to as “hidden hunger”, form a significant component of burden of malnutrition worldwide, more so in developing countries like India. Deficiencies of iodine, iron, folic acid, vitamin A and zinc are the leading five causes of micronutrient deficiencies which constitute a global public health problem1.
Iodine deficiency disorders (IDD) are linked to iodine deficient soil. Due to glaciations, flooding, rivers changing course and deforestation the iodine present in top soil is constantly leached. This in turn leads to deficiency of iodine in crops grown on iodine deficient soil with consequently low iodine in the diet for livestock and humans. In the past, iodine deficiency was thought to cause only goitre and cretinism. However, over the last quarter of the century, it has become increasingly clear that iodine deficiency leads to a much wider spectrum of disorders commencing with the intrauterine life and extending through childhood into adult life with serious health and social problems. The spectrum of diseases includes goitre, cretinism, hypothyroidism, brain damage, abortion, still birth, mental retardation, psychomotor defects and hearing and speech impairment. Majority of consequences of IDD are invisible and irreversible but at the same time preventable.

IDD constitute the single largest cause of preventable brain damage worldwide leading to learning disabilities and psychomotor impairment. Children living in iodine-deficient areas on an average have lower intelligence quotient (IQ), by as much as 13.5 IQ points as compared to children living in iodine-sufficient areas. IDD have been shown to be associated with at least six of the eight Millennium Development Goals. IDD are a major challenge to the health of populations the world over particularly among preschool children and pregnant women in low-income countries. Globally two billion people are at risk of iodine deficiency disorders due to insufficient iodine intake. Nearly 266 million school-aged children worldwide have insufficient iodine intake. Of the 130 countries which reported data for IDD in 2006 (comprising 91.1% of the total global population), IDD was a public health problem in 47 countries.

The 43rd World Health Assembly held at Geneva, in May 1990 recognized IDD elimination as a major priority. It adopted Universal Salt Iodization (USI) as the preferred strategy to achieve the goal of IDD elimination by 2000. The United Nations World Summit for Children, 1990 attended by 71 Heads of State and other senior officials of 15 Member States, adopted a plan of action for elimination of IDD by the year 2000. In 2002, in the United Nations General Assembly Special Session (UNGASS) on children, a new goal was adopted for IDD elimination by 2005. Impressive gains have been made in household-level coverage of adequately iodized salt (more than 15 part per million of iodine content) globally. Currently 145 countries worldwide have implemented USI and over 71 per cent of the population worldwide consumes adequately iodized salt.

Globally, India has the largest number of children born vulnerable to iodine-deficiency. India, as one of the participants of the UNGASS on children had committed to the goal of IDD elimination by 2005. However, India subsequently revised the IDD control goal in 2006. The IDD control goal in India was to reduce the prevalence of IDD (i.e. total goitre rate) below 10 per cent in the entire country by 2012. This article reviews the efforts done to control IDD in India with a brief outline of the historical evolution of the IDD control programme in India. We look critically at the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and the threats facing the IDD control activities in India.

Current burden of IDD in India

Surveys conducted by the Central and State Health Directorates, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) and medical institutes since 1950s have clearly demonstrated that IDD is a public health problem in all States and union territories in India. Of the 325 districts surveyed in India so far, 263 districts are IDD-endemic, i.e. the prevalence of IDD is above 10 per cent in the population. As per the survey conducted by the National Nutrition Monitoring Board (NNMB) in 2000-2001 in rural areas of Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa and West Bengal, the overall prevalence of total goitre rate (TGR) among six to twelve year old children was about 4 per cent. The prevalence of goitre was highest in Maharashtra (11.9%) and West Bengal (9%).

In India the entire population is prone to IDD due to deficiency of iodine in the soil of the subcontinent and consequently the food derived from it. Of these, an estimated 350 million people are at risk of IDD as they consume salt with inadequate iodine (Table I). Every year nine million pregnant women and eight million newborns are at risk of IDD in India. These estimates are based on the household-level coverage of adequately iodized salt as reported in Coverage Evaluation Survey (CES) 2009 and extrapolated to total population estimates from Census 2011 (provisional figures).

State level IDD surveys were carried out in seven States (Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Orissa, Rajasthan, Bihar, Goa and Jharkhand) from 2000 to 2006 by International
Council for Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (ICCIDD) in collaboration with State medical colleges, Micronutrient Initiative (MI) and UNICEF. The surveys were carried as per the recommended guidelines of WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD and used 30 cluster into 40 children sampling methodology. Children in the age group of 6-12 yr, women in the household, retail shop keepers and other community stakeholders constituted the study population. All three indicators, viz. total goiter rate (TGR), urinary iodine (UI) concentration and iodine content of salt (household and retail shop) were studied. The household level consumption of adequately iodized salt (≥15 ppm) ranged from 18.2 per cent in Tamil Nadu to 91.9 per cent in Goa (Table II). The median urinary iodine excretion ranged from 76 µg/l in Goa to 173.2 µg/l in Jharkhand. TGR ranged from 0.9 per cent in Jharkhand to 17.5 per cent in Goa. These State level IDD surveys are the only sub-national level IDD surveys in India where all three indicators, viz. iodized salt coverage, urinary iodine and TGR were assessed concurrently.

Iodised salt coverage at household level

In India as per the Coverage Evaluation Survey 200915, 91 per cent of households had access to iodized salt, of whom 71 per cent consumed adequately iodized salt. Another 9 per cent consumed salt with no iodine. There are wide rural and urban variations in household coverage of adequately iodized salt (83.2% in urban areas vs. 66.1% in rural areas). Wide variation was also seen across different States/UTs; with Chhattisgarh (31.6%), Karnataka (35.5%) and Jharkhand (41.4%) being the low coverage States, and Manipur (98.3%), Meghalaya (98%) and Nagaland (97.1%) being high coverage States.

**Historical evolution of the iodine deficiency control programmes in India**

Iodine deficiency and its manifestations have been known to mankind from ancient times. IDD was first documented in India in the Himalayan region by McCarrison in 190818. Further studies documenting goitre in India were done by several other researchers including Stott et al (1931)19, and Ramalingaswami (1953)20. Salt-iodization as an intervention to address goiter, the then well recognized and more visible manifestation of iodine deficiency was first initiated in United States of America (USA) and Switzerland in 1920s21. India was one of the first countries in the world to start a public health programme to address iodine deficiency disorders based on salt iodization. A landmark study in Kangra Valley region, Himachal Pradesh was

---

**Table I. Estimated burden of Indian population at risk of IDD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no</th>
<th>Source of information</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>Birth rate</td>
<td>Ref. 72</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>22.8 per thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>Ref. 16</td>
<td>363.1</td>
<td>847.1</td>
<td>1210.2 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>Total number of live births</td>
<td>From Step 1 and Step 2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>27.6 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>Infant mortality rate</td>
<td>Ref. 72</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>53.0 per thousand live births</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5</td>
<td>Infant mortality</td>
<td>From Step 3 and Step 4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 6</td>
<td>Infant population</td>
<td>From Step 3 and Step 5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>26.1 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 7</td>
<td>Under 5 population</td>
<td>Ref. 10 and Step 2</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>126.1 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 8</td>
<td>Adequately iodized salt</td>
<td>Ref. 15</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>71.1 percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 9</td>
<td>Inadequately + non iodized salt</td>
<td>100%-Step 8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>28.9 percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 10</td>
<td>No. of pregnant females</td>
<td>Step 3 and 10% wastage Ref. 73</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>30.4 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 11</td>
<td>No. of new born at risk of IDD</td>
<td>Step 3 and Step 9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 12</td>
<td>No. of infants at risk of IDD</td>
<td>Step 6 and Step 9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.6 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 13</td>
<td>No. of under 5 children at risk of IDD</td>
<td>Step 7 and Step 9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>36.5 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 14</td>
<td>No. of pregnant females at risk of IDD</td>
<td>Step 10 and Step 9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.8 millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 15</td>
<td>Total population at risk of IDD</td>
<td>Step 2 and Step 8</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>287.2</td>
<td>349.8 millions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conducted by Ramalingaswami and his team from 1956 to 1972\textsuperscript{22}. This community based intervention study clearly demonstrated the effectiveness of iodized salt in reducing goitre prevalence in Kangra Valley. The study area was divided into three geographically distinct zones, Zone A, Zone B and Zone C. Salt was fortified with either potassium iodate (Zone A) or potassium iodide (Zone C), to deliver 200 micrograms of iodine to each study participants. Zone B was the control area and received non-iodized salt. A progressive and significant decline in prevalence of goiter was observed in Zone A and Zone C populations by 1962. (Fig. 1) Additionally, the pattern of iodine metabolism in this population returned to within normal limits in contrast to the “control” population that did not consume iodized salt. From 1962 onwards, iodized salt was provided to Zone B, the control population also. Subsequent checks in 1968 and 1972 demonstrated a decline in goiter-prevalence in this population as well, which was directly attributable to the introduction of iodized salt in the diet. The results of this study have been supported by evidence from other international community-based

![Figure 1: Decrease in goitre prevalence after salt iodization in the Kangra Valley study (1956-1972)](image)

**Table II.** Iodine deficiency disorders (IDD) status of seven States surveyed (2000-2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Goiter rate (%)</th>
<th>Household adequately iodised salt consumption (%)</th>
<th>Urinary iodine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: International Council for Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (ICCIDD)*\textsuperscript{17}
intervention programmes as well\textsuperscript{23,24}. These studies from United States and Switzerland clearly showed a causal link between daily consumption of iodized-salt and reduction in prevalence of goiter.

Based on the success of the Kangra Valley study\textsuperscript{22}, the Government of India established the National Goitre Control Programme (NGCP) in 1962 at the end of the second Five-Year Plan\textsuperscript{25}. The objectives of NGCP were to identify the goiter-endemic regions of the country and supplement the intake of iodine to the entire population in these regions. The NGCP primarily focused on the so called “goitre belt” in the country which comprised the Himalayan and Tarai region in north and north-eastern parts of India.

Subsequently, new evidence emerged that IDD was not limited to a few endemic foci in India and was reported from nearly every geographical region of the country\textsuperscript{26-28}. Based on the evidence from district IDD surveys carried out as per the guidelines of NGCP, situation analysis conducted by the Nutrition Foundation of India (NFI) and studies carried out by the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) and the All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi team, it was decided to expand the activities of NGCP\textsuperscript{29}. In 1983 in the Annual Meeting of Central Council of Health, it was decided that all edible salt in India would be iodized by 1992\textsuperscript{30}. The process of iodization salt was started in a phased manner in the country from April, 1986. Iodized salt was brought under the revised Prevention of Food Adulteration (PFA) Act of 1988\textsuperscript{31}.

There was a growing realization that iodine deficiency is not limited to goiter alone but could manifest in several other ways with far serious consequences. Available scientific evidence both from across the world and from India showed significant impact of iodine deficiency on early brain development, cognition and learning abilities of children\textsuperscript{32-34}. According to a study by Kochupillai et al\textsuperscript{27}, the incidence of neonatal hypothyroidism in one of the IDD endemic regions of India was one of the highest reported in the world. The incidence of neonatal hypothyroidism varied from 0.1 per cent in an iodine sufficient region of India to 13.3 per cent in iodine-deficient region. In populations with high incidence of neonatal hypothyroidism, increased nerve deafness and low IQ were observed\textsuperscript{27}. These effects of mild brain damage suggested that nutritional iodine deficiency could manifest in ways other than goiter or cretinism.

The World Summit for Children in 1990 endorsed the concept of “IDD as a spectrum of diseases” and set up a target of global elimination IDD by year 2000. India was one of the participants and signatories of this declaration. The Government of India reiterated its commitment to achieve USI by 1995 at the second South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) conference on children in South Asia, held in Colombo, Sri Lanka, in September 1992\textsuperscript{35}. Following the SAARC conference, the Government of India introduced an amendment in the National Plan of Action for Children to include universal access to iodized salt by 1995 as a specific goal\textsuperscript{36}.

The nomenclature of the NGCP was changed to National IDD Control Programme (NIDDCP) in 1992 to emphasize the wider implications of iodine deficiency. The identified objectives of the NIDDCP programme were to conduct surveys to assess the magnitude of the IDD, supply of iodated salt in place of common salt, resurvey after every five years to assess the extent of IDD and the impact of iodated salt, laboratory monitoring of iodized salt and urinary iodine excretion and health education and advocacy\textsuperscript{37}. The NIDDCP identifies USI as the primary strategy to eliminate IDD as a public health problem in the country.

In 1998 after consultation with all stakeholders, policy guidelines for NIDDCP were formulated\textsuperscript{37}. The policy guidelines were revised in 2006 and clearly delineated the administrative structure, IDD survey guidelines and financial outlay of the programme\textsuperscript{13}. Concomitant with the evolution of the IDD control programme in India, the IDD control goals also went through various stages of revision and reformulation (Box 1).

**Progress of IDD control programme in India: A success story**

IDD control programme in India is a public health success story. We have come a long way from a district-centric approach of NGCP in 1962 to having a national-level programme today covering the whole country. Nearly 91 per cent of households in the country have access to iodized salt with 71 per cent consuming adequately iodized salt\textsuperscript{15}. Iodized salt production in India was less than two hundred thousand metric tons (MT) per year in 1980s, of which 50 per cent was exported to Nepal. Currently, the total iodized salt production is 5.82 million MT per year, well in excess of the national requirement of 5.2 million MT per year\textsuperscript{38}.
The key factors contributing to this remarkable progress of the IDD control programme in India are effective translation from research to policy, political commitment, involvement of the private sector in production of iodized salt, legislation to ensure iodization of salt and catalytic role played by the troika of academic institutes, civil society and international agencies.

**Translation from research to policy:** The dynamic evolution of NIDDCP in India demonstrates the successful translation of research to policy to programme. The landmark Kangra Valley study (research) was the genesis of IDD control “policy” and subsequent evolution of NGCP (programme) and its implementation. The researchers used the results of the study effectively to reach a consensus and formulate a policy to combat IDD in India. Further studies carried out in *tarai* region of India and from other regions led to evolution of NIDDCP from NGCP.

**Sustained political commitment:** After initial efforts to reach consensus on IDD control, the need to upscale and mainstream the IDD control efforts was felt. Concerted efforts, focusing on both “formal” (Indian Council of Medical Research, Directorate General of Health Services, Health Council, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, etc.) and “informal” (political leaders, civil society groups, etc.) institutional structure for decision making were adopted. The resultant sustained political commitment to the IDD control policy was borne out by the inclusion of IDD control in the 20-Point Programme of the Government of India in 1983. The commitment of the Government of India (GoI) and senior political leadership to the goal of IDD control was reaffirmed by active participation by India in the World Summit on Children in 1990, SAARC Children Summit in 1992 and UNGASS on children, 2002.

**Increased production of iodized salt and involvement of the private sector:** The Indian salt industry has made rapid progress over the last three decades with increase in both quantity and quality of iodized salt produced in the country. This increase in iodized salt production is primarily due to the contribution of the private sector. Currently 98 per cent of total iodized salt production in India is from the private sector. This is a classic example of translation of research to policy.
example of responsive and responsible participation by the private sector in a major national public health programme.

**Institution of legislation to ensure iodization of salt:** After sustained advocacy, GoI notified a ban on sale of non-iodized edible salt in 1997 under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act 1954. The imposition of ban gave a huge impetus to the promotion of adequately iodized salt in the country. However, under pressure from certain segments the ban was withdrawn in 2000. The withdrawal of the ban had adverse effects on household-level coverage of adequately iodized salt. This underscored the need to have a mandatory legislation to ensure USI in India, and the ban was reinstated in 2005.

**Catalytic role played by the troika of academic institutes, civil society and international agencies:** Government of India and its nodal ministries - Ministry of Health and Family Welfare and Ministry of Industry undoubtedly have the central and primary role in implementation of NIDDCP and USI in India. All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, has provided leadership for research policy and advocacy towards IDD control and USI programme in the country. Civil society and international agencies such as Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN), International Council for Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (ICCIDD), Micronutrient Initiative (MI), UNICEF, World Food Programme (WFP) and WHO have not only acted as important partners for IDD control initiatives in the country, but also as vocal advocates for reinstatement of the ban on the scale of non-iodized salt.

**Have we achieved the IDD control goal?**

The goal of IDD control programme in India was to reduce the prevalence of IDD (i.e. TGR) below 10 per cent in the country by 2012. The recent Coverage Evaluation Survey (CES) 2009 has reported 91 per cent population coverage of iodized salt in India, of which 71 per cent population is consuming adequately iodized salt and another 20 per cent is consuming salt with some added iodine (<15 ppm). The USI target of greater than 90 per cent household coverage of adequately iodized salt is within our reach. The CES results show an increase of nearly 20 per cent from the last national-level iodized salt coverage survey carried out in 2005-2006 (Fig. 2).

There has been remarkable progress in terms of the capacity to produce iodized salt in India. The country currently produces 5.8 million tons of iodized salt (2009-2010) against a total requirement of 5.2 million tons. But due to multitude of factors this increased production of iodized salt has not translated into USI at household level. The major factors for not being able to achieve USI in India are low priority accorded to the NIDDCP programme, meager fund allocation for the programme, lack of communication campaign.

---

**Fig. 2.** Progress in household level adequately iodized salt coverage in India using salt testing kits (STKs). CES, Coverage Evaluation Survey 2009; NFHS2, National Family Health Survey; DLHS2, District Level Household Survey; NFHS3, National Family Health Survey 2.
for sustained and effective behaviour change, lack of monitoring of iodine level of salt from production to consumer end, no mechanism of monitoring iodine content of salt transported by road (leading to easy availability of non-iodized salt in areas where salt is primarily transported by road) and poor implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration (PFA) Act\textsuperscript{45,46}. Salt iodization especially by small scale producers who contribute to approximately 66 per cent of total iodized salt production in the country is not of optimal quality. There are considerable variations in the levels of iodization, high moisture content of salt and use of improper packaging material leading to sub optimal iodine content of salt at consumer level\textsuperscript{46}. Consumer awareness regarding salt iodization is also inadequate in India. A study done in rural households of eight low-iodized salt coverage (less than national average) States in India, reported that only 58.7 per cent households were aware of iodized salt and only 35.4 per cent respondents knew that iodine deficiency causes “less mental development and diminished intelligence”\textsuperscript{47}. This poor awareness and knowledge of iodized salt and IDD leads to inadequate demand for adequately iodized salt.

As per the criterion of WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD for tracking progress towards sustainable elimination of IDD we still have a long way to go (Table III). Of the 10 programme indicators listed by WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD\textsuperscript{3}, India has attained only five, with another four being partially attained (Table IV).

| Table III. Current status of progress towards sustainable elimination of IDD in India |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Indicators                  | Goals                      | Status in India |
| Salt iodization            |                             |               |
| Proportion of households using adequately iodized salt | > 90%                      | 71 % (CES, 2009)\textsuperscript{1}\textsuperscript{4} |
| Urinary iodine excretion   |                             |               |
| Median in the general population | 100-199 µg/l               | No national level representative data (data for 7 States by ICCIDD exists)\textsuperscript{13} |
| Median in pregnant females  | 150-249 µg/l               | No national level representative data |
| Programmatic indicators    |                             |               |
| Attainment of 8 out of 10 indicators | Yes - 5                    | Partial - 4 |

There is a paucity of representative national-level good quality data for monitoring IDD control activities in India. The national IDD cell/ICMR district-level IDD surveys carried out as part of NIDDCP only report TGR. Even these goitre surveys with different reference periods for different district surveys are carried out infrequently and irregularly making them useless for monitoring State and national level IDD activities. The results available from various State level or district level IDD surveys carried out as a part of research by various academic institutes and international agencies are too sparse and sporadic to be of any use for monitoring programmes.

The current IDD survey guidelines detailed in Revised Policy Guideline on NIDDCP\textsuperscript{3,12} do not conform to standard guidelines laid down by WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD for monitoring of IDD control programmes\textsuperscript{12}. The cut-off of TGR used to define a region as IDD endemic is 5 per cent as per the WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD\textsuperscript{3} whereas it is 10 per cent as per the revised policy guidelines of NIDDCP\textsuperscript{12}. As per the revised policy guidelines, district level surveys are to be conducted in every district once every five years by rotation in the State using three indicators, viz. TGR, urinary iodine excretion and household level adequately iodized salt consumption. The target population recommended is school aged (6 to 12 yr) children only. It does not include pregnant women as recommended by the standard guidelines of WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD\textsuperscript{3}. The recommended sample size to be selected using cluster sampling method (30 clusters into 90 children) of 2700 for TGR, 540 for iodized salt and 270 for urinary iodine per district is also not as per the standard guidelines. The current revised policy guidelines lack any epidemiological rationale both in terms of sampling method and sample size. WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD\textsuperscript{3} guidelines include urinary iodine in pregnant women and do not include TGR as an indicator. The recommended sample size as per WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD guidelines is 1200 school aged children and 300 pregnant women for IDD survey. The current allocation of ₹ 50,000 to conduct a district level survey is grossly inadequate when one has to collect information of all there indicators viz. TGR, UIE and iodized salt coverage\textsuperscript{12}. District level IDD data cannot be aggregated to obtain State or national IDD estimates as district level surveys are done over different time span. Thus, there is a need to revise the NIDDCP policy guidelines, and bring these
in conformation with internationally acceptable IDD control guidelines.

The only available indicator in India that can be utilized for monitoring IDD control programme at the State and national level is household level coverage of inadequately iodized salt. The most up-to-date and valid source of adequately iodized salt coverage in India is the Coverage Evaluation Survey (CES) 2009\(^\text{15}\). However, CES 2009 used salt testing kits (STKs) to estimate iodine content of salt instead of the recommended gold standard method of iodometric titration. There are methodological issues with using salt testing kits for estimating population level iodized salt coverage because of the low specificity and hence low validity of the method\(^\text{48}\). But even with these shortcomings, iodized salt coverage (using STKs) is the only useful national level indicator available to track progress towards sustainable elimination of IDD in India. Thus, in spite of the limitations of STKs, the comparison over the years provides useful information on trends in coverage level\(^\text{46,49-51}\).

**The way forward**

Achieving IDD control goal in India requires a “mission approach” with greater coordination amongst all stakeholders of IDD control. The “mission approach” has to be adopted by the government at the highest political level, and should have clearly defined objectives and strategies. The plan of action has to be executed within a defined time-frame by a committed team. Fast-track procedures and collective action by an inter-sectoral effort are integral components of this approach. Close monitoring and transparent evaluation should be developed in line with the goal, objectives and strategies of the “mission approach”. The potential of “mission approach” in making significant progress towards USI and IDD control has been demonstrated by the successful case study of Madhya Pradesh in India from 1994 to 1995 (Box 2)\(^\text{52}\). However, it is imperative that “mission approach” is designed concurrent to a “system approach” as sustaining the success achieved during “mission approach” is equally important.

In 2006, a National Coalition for Sustained Iodine Intake (NCSII) was established\(^\text{53}\). The National Coalition comprises a wide array of stakeholders involved in iodine deficiency elimination activities in the country. These included Government of India agencies (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare and Salt Commissioners Office), State Government agencies (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare at State level), national institutes/organizations National Institute of Health and Family Welfare (NIHFW),

| Table IV. Current status of ten Programme indicators for tracking progress towards sustainable elimination of IDD in India |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Indicator                                                                 | Current status |
| Presence of a national multi-sector coalition                               | Partial/Coalition exist but still preliminary stage |
| Demonstration of political commitment                                        | Yes |
| Enactment of legislation and supportive regulations on universal salt iodization | Yes |
| Establishment of methods for assessment of progress in the elimination of IDD | Partial/Reporting incomplete and irregular |
| Access to laboratories to provide accurate data on salt and urinary iodine levels and thyroid function. | Yes |
| Establishment of a programme of education and social mobilization            | Partial/Need for more focus on social mobilization & Behavior Change Communication (BCC) |
| Routine availability of data on salt iodine content, at the factory level at least monthly, and at the household level at least every five years. | Yes |
| Routine availability of population-based data on urinary iodine every five years | Partial |
| Demonstration of ongoing cooperation from the salt industry                  | Yes |
| Presence of a national database for recording of results of regular monitoring procedures which include population-based household coverage and urinary iodine | No |
National Cadet Corps (NCC), partner agencies (WHO, UNICEF, WFP, GAIN, MI, ICCIDD), health professionals groups Indian Public Health Association (IPHA), Indian Association of Preventive and Social Medicine (IAPSM), Indian Thyroid Society (ITS), Indian Society of Pediatric and Adolescent (ISPAE), salt manufacturers associations (ISMA), civil society/consumer advocacy groups and media advocacy groups. The Coalition provides a platform bringing together all partner agencies, government agencies and departments. The Coalition has ensured greater coordination, synergy and experience sharing amongst different stakeholders engaged in IDD control activities in India. There is a need to further strengthen the National Coalition and to establish State level Coalitions on similar lines.

Mainstreaming of IDD control in the policy-making process is imperative. All stakeholders should sensitize policy makers to the criticality of IDD control for human resource development and national progress. It is to be emphasized that even moderate iodine deficiency is associated with cognitive impairment in children. More focus should also be given to the “rights approach” i.e. it is every child’s right to have access to optimal iodine nutrition to ensure optimal brain development.

Health being a State subject as per the constitution of India, it is imperative that due focus be given to State level IDD control activities. The translation from programme to activities in NIDDCP like all other health programmes occurs at the State level. Currently wide variations in IDD status across different States are observed, making it even more important that we devise State specific action plans to control IDD. State level coalition and alliances of stakeholders would go a long way in taking forward the agenda of IDD in India. There is a need for capacity-building of work force required for IDD control activities in States, institutionalization of IDD research and advocacy in academic institutes at State level.

The time has come for USI in India shifts its focus from “quantity” to “quality”. There is a need to set up a stringent laboratory quality assurance programme from the production end laboratories to the consumer level monitoring laboratories. Capacity-building of private salt manufacturer/refineries and state/district level salt iodization monitoring laboratory personnel is a critical component of ensuring good quality iodized salt.

Despite legislation requiring mandatory salt iodization in India, the primary approach especially with small scale salt producers has been advocacy based i.e. sensitizing, persuading these manufacturers to iodize the salt produced by them for large good of society. The “stick” strategy, i.e. implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954, and punishing the violators of mandatory salt iodization provision has been only sparingly used. Now the time has come for “zero tolerance” and strict implementation of Food Safety and Standards (FSS) Act, 2006/Prevention of Food Adulteration (PFA) Act, 1954. The choice is between 2000 salt producers who must produce quality and adequately iodized salt and millions of newly born children at risk of IDD.

There still exist wide inequities in iodized salt coverage, across States, across the rural-urban divide,
across socio-economic strata and amongst marginalized populations\textsuperscript{57}. Pregnant women and newborn children, the most vulnerable to IDD, are still not provided with optimal iodine nutrition\textsuperscript{58}. We have to evolve a mechanism to reach these population sub-groups. Targeted interventions, innovative approaches and concerted efforts are required to ensure that each and every child born in this country is protected from brain damage caused due to inadequate iodine intake.

Pregnant women have increased requirement of iodine and thus it is imperative to monitor their IDD status to ensure optimal brain development of the foetus\textsuperscript{59}. A review of available studies from India shows a significant iodine deficiency in pregnant women\textsuperscript{58}. The recommended iodine intake during pregnancy was increased from 200 to 250 µg/day and median UI concentration cut-off was increased from 100 to 150 µg/l\textsuperscript{1}. This upward revision means that the current level of iodine supplementation in salt (at 15 parts per million level of iodine, daily average salt consumption of 10 g will provide only 150 µg/day of iodine) may not be sufficient to meet the increased requirement during pregnancy. It is imperative that UI monitoring of pregnant women is included as a vital component of NIDDCP in India. There is a need to conduct national level representative surveys to better quantify the iodine nutrition of pregnant women in India. Another important research agenda should be conducting intervention trial for iodine supplementation in pregnant women.

Public Distribution System (PDS) provides an excellent opportunity to reach the unreachable. The key hurdle in achieving USI is ensuring access to quality iodized salt especially for the socio-economically poor strata of the population. This can be overcome by introducing quality iodized salt through PDS. Utilized primarily by the populations below poverty line, providing low-cost quality adequately iodized salt through PDS will ensure that we cover the last one fourth of population which still has limited access to adequately iodized salt. PDS along with Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) scheme and Mid-day meal (MDM) programme together have huge potential as far as ensuring universal and optimal iodine nutrition to the population is concerned.

Strengthening of National and State IDD cells which are the back bone of the NIDDCP is critical to achieving IDD control goals in India. Increased resources especially financial, infrastructure strengthening and capacity building of human resources of national and State IDD cell should be done at the earliest.

Increasing consumer awareness and demand for adequately iodized salt has been proven to be one of the most effective interventions to promote USI globally. The Behaviour Change Communication (BCC) activities need to be upscaled and intensified. Along with activities targeted towards increasing awareness of consumers, the community needs to be enabled to monitor the quality of iodized salt. Salt testing kits (STKs) are an effective community advocacy tool and if linked to a quality assured laboratory network (with capacity of iodometric titration) can be a highly effective community monitoring mechanism to ensure USI.

Tracking progress of sustainable elimination of IDD at national and State level using defined criteria should be incorporated into the NIDDCP at the earliest\textsuperscript{1}. Monitoring and evaluation is the weakest and most crucial link of IDD control activities in India. There is a need to adopt achievable goals with appropriate resource allocation so that the indicators linked to these goals are measured regularly. State IDD surveys should be carried out regularly every three/five years as per the guidelines.

Sustaining the progress made towards IDD control so far should be the focal point henceforth. Elimination of IDD from a population should always be coupled with a mechanism to ensure the sustainability of the programme. As has been borne out by numerous case studies across the globe, one time elimination of IDD is not the answer\textsuperscript{60,61}. Also, in India slackening of efforts has resulted in re-emergence of IDD as seen in case of Madhya Pradesh\textsuperscript{62}. The task of sustaining iodine deficiency elimination requires constant vigilance. One of the models proposed for sustaining IDD elimination efforts is the Social Process Model (Fig. 3)\textsuperscript{63}. The social process model for health care programmes may be represented by a six-element circular model; (i) assess/reassess the situation epidemiologically, (ii) increase awareness of the situation through communication and dissemination of findings, (iii) develop and achieve political resolve and agreement at all levels (from national to community level), (iv) create and update plans for infrastructure development and plan of action, (v) vigorously implement the programme, and (vi) assess/reassess the programme progress (viz. monitoring and evaluation) to track progress towards sustainable
elimination of IDD. Closely linked to the issue of sustaining the progress is the debate surrounding the need for USI, its ethics and allegations regarding promoting monopoly of multinational corporations (MNCs). IDD is a public health problem and thus requires public health intervention. Ensuring equity in iodine nutrition is critical to this approach.

Neonatal hypothyroidism screening programmes to screen and treat newborns with hypothyroidism (including that caused by iodine deficiency), which are adopted by developed countries globally are based on the principle of early detection and treatment. However, due to the high costs involved and other operational difficulties such as low institutional deliveries, this is not suited for developing countries like India. (Fig. 4). Salt iodization has to be universal to reach the most marginalized and individuals at risk. It is extremely difficult and costly to assess iodine deficiency at the individual level. Targeted salt iodization is also administratively not feasible. Thus, health promotion along with USI is ideal strategy to combat IDD in India.

The recommended salt iodine fortification level of 15 ppm provides 150 µg of iodine per day assuming daily salt consumption of 10 g. Iodine fortification at this level meets the recommended daily requirements (RDA) and is also well within the upper safety limit of 1000 µg recommended by WHO. Iodine intake has been postulated to be an important determinant of the pattern of thyroid diseases, broadly related to three distinct subsets of thyroid disorders; iodine induced hyperthyroidism (IIH) (thyrotoxicosis), autoimmune thyroiditis (AIT), and thyroid carcinoma. The increase in the incidence of IIH subsequent to iodine supplementation is minimal and self-limiting. Increase in incidence of IIH has been observed only when iodine is supplemented for a population living in a severely iodine deficient area and more likely to occur only when large doses of iodine are given over a short period of time and is limited to the age groups >40 yr old. IIH is believed to occur only in subjects with pre-existing autonomous nodular goiter or in patients with latent Graves’ disease, i.e., iodine supplementation merely unmask the underlying thyroxicosis. Current available evidence linking iodine supplementation with AIT is unequivocal with no study conclusively proving increased incidence of AIT after iodine supplementation. AIT is a disease of multifactorial aetiology which includes genetic predisposition, environmental factors, and endogenous factors. Iodine intake may be one of the multiple environmental factors like low birth weight (LBW), viral/bacterial
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infection, selenium deficiency, radiation, stress, etc. There is no relationship between iodine intake and overall incidence of thyroid cancer\textsuperscript{67}. However, iodine intake leads to an increase in the ratio of papillary (less malignant) to follicular (more malignant) thyroid carcinoma thus having an overall favourable effect. The benefits of iodine supplementation far outweigh the relatively small risks of surplus iodine\textsuperscript{68,69}.

Another critical issue in addressing IDD control in India is devising a strategy to offset the harm caused by the change in IDD control policy and legislations. Serious effects of changes in legislation regulating salt iodization was seen when the Government of India lifted the ban on sale of non-iodized salt in 2000. The iodized salt coverage declined from 49 per cent in 1998-1999\textsuperscript{49} to only 30 per cent in 2002-2003\textsuperscript{51} and subsequently plateaued at 51 per cent in 2005-2006\textsuperscript{50}. IDD control programme lost five crucial years due to this change in legislation.

A brief mention also needs to be made about iodine nutrition in light of the recent nuclear radiation accident in Japan\textsuperscript{70} and mitigating the adverse effects of the same. India is at risk from radiation fallout due to nuclear power plant accidents and threat of nuclear warfare\textsuperscript{70}. The risk from nuclear radiation accidents in India is increased by the region being endemic for iodine deficiency as adverse effects following a nuclear radiation fallout like thyroid cancer are significantly higher in iodine deficient populations\textsuperscript{71}. There is a need to institute disaster preparedness measures to mitigate the damage in case of a nuclear accident.

**Conclusions**

IDD control programme in India is one of the success stories of public health in the country. The current 91 per cent household level coverage of iodized salt in India, of which 71 per cent is adequately iodized salt, is a big achievement. A mission approach is required with effective and efficient coordination amongst all stakeholders of IDD control efforts in India to achieve and sustain the IDD control goal.
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