Effects of slow breathing exercise on cardiovascular functions, pulmonary functions & galvanic skin resistance in healthy human volunteers - a pilot study

A.V. Turankar, S. Jain†, S.B. Patel†, S.R. Sinha*, A.D. Joshi†, B.N. Vallish*, P.R. Mane† & S.A. Turankar**

Department of Pharmacology, Govt Medical College, Nagpur; Departments of *Pharmacology & †Biochemistry, ‡Grant Medical College, Mumbai, India

Received July 21, 2011

Background & objectives: Regular practice of slow breathing has been shown to improve cardiovascular and respiratory functions and to decrease the effects of stress. This pilot study was planned to evaluate the short term effects of pranayama on cardiovascular functions, pulmonary functions and galvanic skin resistance (GSR) which mirrors sympathetic tone, and to evaluate the changes that appear within a short span of one week following slow breathing techniques.

Methods: Eleven normal healthy volunteers were randomized into Pranayama group (n=6) and a non-Pranayama control group (n=5); the pranayama volunteers were trained in pranayama, the technique being Anuloma-Viloma pranayama with Kumbhak. All the 11 volunteers were made to sit in similar environment for two sessions of 20 min each for seven days, while the pranayama volunteers performed slow breathing under supervision, the control group relaxed without conscious control on breathing. Pulse, GSR, blood pressure (BP) and pulmonary function tests (PFT) were measured before and after the 7-day programme in all the volunteers.

Results: While no significant changes were observed in BP and PFT, an overall reduction in pulse rate was observed in all the eleven volunteers; this reduction might have resulted from the relaxation and the environment. Statistically significant changes were observed in the Pranayama group volunteers in the GSR values during standing phases indicating that regular practice of Pranayama causes a reduction in the sympathetic tone within a period as short as 7 days.

Interpretation & conclusions: Beneficial effects of pranayama started appearing within a week of regular practice, and the first change appeared to be a reduction in sympathetic tone.
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Pranayama, meaning ‘breath control’, is an ancient technique involving slow and rhythmic breathing. It is known that the regular practice of pranayama increases parasympathetic tone, decreases sympathetic activity, improves cardiovascular and respiratory functions, decreases the effect of stress and strain on the body and improves physical and mental health. Regular practice of rhythmic slow breathing has been shown to increase baroreflex sensitivity and reduce chemoreflex activation, and to reduce systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressures as well as heart rate variations in hypertensive patients. Practice of slow breathing has also been advocated for the treatment of anxiety disorders as it attenuates cardiac autonomic responses in such patients.

It has been reported in one study that a single 10-minute session of slow breathing caused a temporary fall in blood pressure, heart rate, electromyograph (EMG) activity, and a rise in skin temperature. Another study showed that slow breathing over a span of 4 wk increased parasympathetic activity. A couple of studies observed that regular practice of slow breathing exercise for a minimum of three months improved autonomic functions. Thus, there is lack of consensus regarding the latency of onset of the beneficial effects of pranayama. Hence, this pilot study was designed to evaluate the short term effects of slow breathing exercise on various cardiovascular functions, pulmonary functions and galvanic skin resistance (GSR) which mirrors sympathetic tone, and to evaluate how early does it take for the changes to appear because of slow breathing techniques, in normal healthy volunteers.

Material & Methods

The study was conducted over eight days during August 2009 in the Department of Pharmacology, Grant Medical College, Mumbai, India, on 11 healthy males aged between 18-30 yr. Participants were randomly selected from amongst the teaching staff and postgraduate residents from the department of pharmacology. Approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee and informed written consent was taken from all the participants. Volunteers outside the body mass index (BMI) range of 18.5 and 25 kg/m², smokers, alcoholics, suffering from any chronic disease condition, on medication for any condition or following any type of exercise regimes including pranayama and non-consenting individuals were excluded from the study. The volunteers were asked to follow the exercise schedule regularly, be on a uniform, balanced, vegetarian diet throughout the study period, wear lose and comfortable clothes and to avoid tea/coffee 1 h before and food 2 h before the exercise. The entire activity was executed under the proper guidance of an expert who gave the training for the breathing maneuvers. The study methodology is summarized in the Figure.

The 11 subjects were randomly assigned to perform either the slow breathing exercise (Pranayama group, n=6) or regular breathing (non-pranayama group, n=5). All were asked to sit in the same environment, once in the morning and once in the evening for 20 min each.

Slow breathing was done by sitting on the ground in Sukhasana (crossed leg with cervical and lumbar spine extended, arms pronated and extended, wrists placed on knees, and fist closed), in an ambient, well-ventilated, quiet room, at room temperature; it was ensured that the subjects had no nasal obstruction. The subjects of the

Day 1
- Volunteers briefed about the study protocol
- Informed written consent was obtained
- Baseline readings of pulse rate, blood pressure, GSR and pulmonary functions recorded

Randomization of groups into slow breathing exercise group and control group was done using lottery system.

Day 2
- Training provided; volunteers provided with diaries to enter their daily routine

Day 3 to 9
- Subjects performed their task under close monitoring and supervision in groups and at the same venue twice a day for 20 minutes

Day 9
- End of the study period; post-test readings of parameters recorded

Fig. Flow diagram showing the study methodology.
Pranayama group were trained in the Anuloma-Viloma technique of pranayama with Kumbhak (alternative nostril breathing with breath holding). The subjects were asked to breathe in through the left nostril over a period of 6 sec, hold their breath for 6 sec with both nostrils closed, and then to exhale through the right nostril over a period of 6 sec. This constituted one breathing cycle, and the subjects performed about 30 such cycles once in the morning and in the evening.

The subjects of the non-pranayama group performed regular rhythmic breathing in a sitting posture same as those of the Pranayama group volunteers without any conscious control of respiratory rate and depth.

Recording of body functions: The body functions recorded included pulse rate, galvanic skin resistance (GSR), blood pressure (BP) and pulmonary function tests (PFT). All recordings were done in a quiet room at ambient room temperature. The person who recorded the body functions was blinded for randomization.

The recordings for pulse rate and GSR were made using an 8-channeled polygraph (Medicaid systems, Chandigarh). The subjects were asked to lie down, stand up, again lie down, perform a stepping exercise (the volunteers were asked to step up and down a wooden block 20 cm tall, and complete at least 30 such cycles in one minute), and again lie down, each stage lasting for one minute. The pulse and GSR were recorded during these five phases. The sensors of the GSR electrodes were placed on the pulp of index and middle finger of the right hand, and those of the pulse electrodes were placed on the pulp of the right index finger. The mid phase (reading at 30 sec) pulse rate and amplitude of GSR were noted.

The blood pressure was recorded using an automatic BP measuring apparatus (Omron, India) with the cuff tied to the right arm. The systolic and diastolic BP (SBP and DBP) were noted down at the end of all stages and mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated using the formula MAP=[SBP* (1/3) + DBP* (2/3)]. Pulmonary functions were recorded in standing posture using polygraph. The forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume at 1 second (FEV1) were noted.

The post-test recording of the parameters was done after one hour of the last pranayama session after allowing for the normal bodily functions to return to baseline.

Statistical analysis: Changes in values of pulse rate, GSR, MAP and PFT due to various phases of exercise with respect to baseline recordings were calculated and compared within each group. The change of parameters between resting (phase 1) and standing (phase 2), and between resting (phase 3) and stepping exercise (phase 4) were also compared both within the group and between the groups. The within-group analysis was done by paired ‘t’ test, inter-group analysis was done by unpaired ‘t’ test.

Results

In both the groups, age and BMI of all subjects were comparable. In the Pranayama group, the mean age was 27.83 ± 0.91 yr and mean BMI was 23.58 ± 0.74 kg/m². In non-pranayama group, the mean age was 28.80 ± 2.15 yr and mean BMI was 24.95 ± 1.23 kg/m².

In the Pranayama group, though there was a general fall in pulse rate in all the five phases of recording, a significant drop was only seen in the resting pulse at the end of the study. There were no statistically significant changes in SBP, DBP and MAP in any of the phases. The GSR in the resting phase was decreased in the post-study recording; there was a rise in GSR in the other phases; none of the changes were significant (Table I). There was a significant decrease in ‘drop in GSR’ after standing; the reduction in ‘drop in GSR’ after stepping exercise was not significant (Table II). There was no significant change in FEV1 and FVC (Table I).

In the non-pranayama group, while there was a general fall in pulse rate in all the five phases of recording, a significant drop was only seen in the resting pulse after the study period. There was no significant change in SBP, DBP and MAP in any of the phases. There was a non-significant general fall in GSR in all the phases during the post-study recording (Table I). The ‘drop in GSR’ was increased after standing but decreased after stepping exercise (Table II).

There was no significant difference between the two groups with regards to the baseline readings, pulse rate during resting, standing and stepping exercise phases, and other parameters. The changes during standing phase in GSR were significant in favour of slow breathing exercise group rather than the non pranayama group.

Discussion

A significant drop was observed in resting pulse rate in both groups following the week-long relaxation practice whether or not involving pranayama. This may be because of the similar body posture adopted.
Table I. Effect of *pranayama* and *non-pranayama* on pulse, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure and pulmonary function test (MAP) and (PFT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase of recording</th>
<th>Resting (Phase 1)</th>
<th>Standing (Phase 2)</th>
<th>Resting (Phase 3)</th>
<th>After stepping exercise (Phase 4)</th>
<th>Resting (Phase 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day of recording</td>
<td>Baseline (Day 0)</td>
<td>Post-test (Day 7)</td>
<td>Baseline (Day 0)</td>
<td>Post-test (Day 7)</td>
<td>Baseline (Day 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse (bpm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pranayama</em> group (n=6)</td>
<td>77.17±9.43</td>
<td>72.67±8.5*</td>
<td>89.67±11.48</td>
<td>86.33±9.04</td>
<td>76.0±7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>118.7±7.26</td>
<td>118.5±2.26</td>
<td>115.8±2.56</td>
<td>118.7±5.47</td>
<td>114.5±5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>67.67±9.89</td>
<td>71.67±7.03</td>
<td>78±7.18</td>
<td>81±5.93</td>
<td>67±6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>83.83±5.55</td>
<td>86.33±4.96</td>
<td>89.33±4.17</td>
<td>92.5±4.51</td>
<td>82.17±4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GSR (kilo-ohms)</strong></td>
<td>602.3±122.01</td>
<td>544.7±256.94</td>
<td>405.5±70.59</td>
<td>456.5±328.42</td>
<td>434.2±62.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEV1 (L/S)</strong></td>
<td>Baseline: 2.9±0.41</td>
<td>Post-test: 2.79±0.46</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FVC (L)</strong></td>
<td>Baseline: 3.22±0.52</td>
<td>Post-test: 3.13±0.59</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-pranayama group (n=5)</strong></td>
<td>72.2±11.01</td>
<td>66.80±9.36*</td>
<td>82.60±12.70</td>
<td>80.40±12.26</td>
<td>72.80±14.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>120.40±8.87</td>
<td>123.00±10.39</td>
<td>123.40±9.04</td>
<td>126.80±16.82</td>
<td>120.00±9.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>74.20±6.87</td>
<td>71.80±10.08</td>
<td>86.20±6.87</td>
<td>83.00±12.58</td>
<td>72.60±7.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAP (mm Hg)</strong></td>
<td>88.60±5.70</td>
<td>88.00±9.61</td>
<td>97.80±7.30</td>
<td>96.40±13.41</td>
<td>87.40±6.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GSR (kilo-ohms)</strong></td>
<td>636.4±286.73</td>
<td>506.2±194.82</td>
<td>555.0±243.2</td>
<td>346.2±127.53</td>
<td>552.6±180.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEV1 (L/S)</strong></td>
<td>Baseline: 3.07±0.34</td>
<td>Post-test: 2.95±0.34</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FVC (L)</strong></td>
<td>Baseline: 3.57±0.54</td>
<td>Post-test: 3.4±0.49</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values indicate mean ± SD. *P<0.05; @Mid-phase GSR recording was considered; GSR, galvanic skin resistance
### Table II. Effects on galvanic skin resistance (GSR) in pranayama and non-pranayama groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Day of recording</th>
<th>Phase of recording</th>
<th>Phase of recording</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resting (Phase 1)</td>
<td>Standing (Phase 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pranayama (n=6)</strong></td>
<td>Baseline (Day 0)</td>
<td>602.3 ± 122.01</td>
<td>405.5 ± 70.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test (Day 7)</td>
<td>544.7 ± 122.01</td>
<td>456.5 ± 70.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-pranayama (n=5)</strong></td>
<td>Baseline (Day 0)</td>
<td>636.4 ± 256.94</td>
<td>555.0 ± 243.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test (Day 7)</td>
<td>506.2 ± 256.94</td>
<td>346.20 ± 127.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed in kilo-ohms. Values indicate mean ± SD; (n=11)

*P<0.05; significant difference when drop in GSR after standing was compared within the Pranayama group, before and after pranayama.

#P<0.05; significant difference when drop in GSR after standing in Pranayama group was compared with drop in GSR after standing in the Non-pranayama group by the subjects and the same peaceful environment to which both the groups were exposed. Apart from the breathing exercise there was no other condition which differentiated both the groups. There was no significant change in SBP, DBP or MAP in either of the groups. All subjects in our study were normotensives. The reason for this might be twofold: one all the subjects in the study were normotensives, and two, the short period of study, i.e. 7 days. A previous study demonstrated a temporary fall in blood pressure following a ten minute session of slow breathing and mental relaxation but the study was performed on hypertensives. Another study also performed on hypertensive individuals, reported a fall in blood pressure following slow breathing exercise. Other studies on healthy normotensive volunteers which reported a fall in blood pressure were conducted for a duration of 4 wk and above. Another study reported a fall in blood pressure after 5 min of pranayama; however, the procedure of slow breathing exercise was different from the procedure followed in our study.

GSR is the electrical resistance recorded between two electrodes placed on the hand with a feeble electric current running between them. A change in autonomic tone, largely sympathetic, results in alteration of GSR. For example, following sympathetic stimulation, there is a slight increase in sweating, and this slight increase is enough to lower the skin resistance since the sweat contains water and electrolytes, both of which increase the conductivity of skin. Thus a fall in GSR indicates a rise in sympathetic tone. A significant increase was observed in the GSR reading on standing in the pranayama group; this was not seen with the non-pranayama group. It indicates a decrease in the sympathetic tone while performing routine daily activities. The fact that this change was observed following the practice of pranayama for a short span of 7 days suggests that a decrease in sympathetic tone might be the earliest change amongst the various physiological changes seen following a regular practice of pranayama. No such change was seen in the non-pranayama group. This difference in GSR between the two groups seen during standing phase was significant, thus indicating the early-onset benefits of pranayama.

Various mechanisms have been proposed to explain this decrease in sympathetic tone, and the associated increase in parasympathetic tone following pranayama. These include an increase in vagal tone following the slow breathing exercise, an increase in baroreflex sensitivity, an increase in tissue oxygenation, and interaction of pranayamic breathing with the nervous system affecting metabolism and autonomic functions.

To conclude, this pilot study showed that the practice of slow breathing exercise (pranayama) on a regular basis attenuated the sympathetic tone of body in healthy volunteers, and that the changes began to appear in a short period of seven days, the changes in
GSR observed at the earliest. Further studies on a large number of individuals for a long duration are required to confirm the findings.
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