**In vitro** activity of daptomycin & linezolid against methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* & vancomycin resistant enterococci isolated from hospitalized cases in Central India
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*Background & objectives:* Growing incidence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) is posing a therapeutic problem due to limited drug options. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to check susceptibility of MRSA and VRE isolates against new antimicrobials such as daptomycin and linezolid.

*Methods:* A total of 586 Gram-positive isolates comprising 442 *S. aureus* and 144 enterococci isolated from hospitalized cases included in the study, were subjected to *in vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility testing by disc diffusion method. One hundred twenty four enterococci obtained from rectal swabs of neonates were also included. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined for daptomycin, linezolid, vancomycin and teicoplanin against 50 each isolates of MRSA and VRE by E strip.

*Results:* Among the staphylococci, 326 (73.85%) isolates were MRSA. MIC for vancomycin and teicoplanin among MRSA was ≤3 μg/ml. MIC for daptomycin among MRSA was found to be in the range of 0.064-1.5 μg/ml. Percentage of VRE among clinical samples was 14.29 per cent while it was 47.06 per cent among enterococci from rectal swabs of neonates. MIC was >256 μg/ml for vancomycin among VRE and was associated with van A genotype. MIC range for daptomycin among VRE was 0.38-3 μg/ml. MIC for linezolid among MRSA and VRE was in the range of 0.25 to 1 and 0.38 -1.5 μg/ml, respectively.

*Interpretation & conclusions:* The present study showed a rise in MIC to vancomycin for sizable number of MRSA and growing percentage of VRE at our centre. Daptomycin and linezolid showed 100 per cent activity against MRSA and VRE.
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Gram-positive organisms are the most common bacterial pathogens causing serious infections such as complicated skin and soft tissue infection¹, bacteraemia and infective endocarditis². The grave concern is the growing incidence of drug resistant pathogens, such as methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE), for which therapeutic options are limited³.
Daptomycin a cyclic lipopeptide derived from the fermentation of Streptomyces roseosporus, is composed of a hydrophilic 13 member amino acid core and lipophilic 10 carbon tails that confer its unique mechanism of antibacterial action involving calcium dependent binding of the drug to the cytoplasmic membrane. This causes alteration in membrane function which results into impairment of potassium dependent macromolecular synthesis following efflux of potassium from the cell. It is approved for use in the treatment of complicated skin and soft tissue-structure infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria and S. aureus bacteraemia including right sided infective endocarditis. Rapid concentration-dependent bactericidal activity against a variety of Gram-positive organisms, including S. aureus (both methicillin sensitive and resistant-MSSA and MRSA), Enterococcus faecalis (both vancomycin susceptible and resistant) has been described for daptomycin.

Linezolid has a broad spectrum of activity against Gram-positive bacteria including multiple drug resistant isolates. Linezolid inhibits bacterial protein synthesis by binding to the 50s ribosomal subunit near to the interface with the 30s subunit, causing inhibition of 70S initiation complex formation. It is active against both MSSA and MRSA, and inhibits all strains at a concentration of 4 μg/ml or less.

Several studies have reported in vitro susceptibility to daptomycin and linezolid against Gram-positive bacterial isolates, but there have been only a few published studies from India on the antimicrobial susceptibility to daptomycin and linezolid against MRSA and enterococci. In view of the limited information available from India, the present study was aimed to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility of MRSA and VRE isolates obtained from hospitalized patients against daptomycin, vancomycin and linezolid.

Material & Methods

The study was carried out at Choithram Hospital and Research Centre (CHRC), Indore, India. This hospital is a 350 bedded multispeciality referral centre in central India with facilities in intensive cardiac care, nephrology, neurology, gynaecology, paediatrics, general medicine and various surgical specialities. Consecutive isolates during study period were from admitted cases in medical, surgical and ICU wards.

Five hundred eighty six isolates of non duplicate Gram-positive cocci were included in the present study. These comprised S. aureus (442) and E. faecium (144) from suspected cases of hospital acquired infections (hospital stay >3 days) during January 2008 - December 2010. The staphylococci isolates were from samples such as urine (n=26), pus (n=147), body fluid (n=50) and blood (219). The enterococci isolates were from samples such as urine (n=48), pus (n=60), body fluid (n=6) and blood (n=30). Blood agar and nutrient agar plates were used as non selective media and mannitol salt agar plate and enterococcosel agar plate as selective media for isolation of S. aureus and enterococci, respectively. All staphylococci were identified by standard biochemical tests. The identification of the enterococcal isolates was done by standard methods.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Gram-positive cocci (n=586) were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility testing by the disk diffusion technique. The isolates were screened for susceptibility to a panel of antibiotics using Mueller-Hinton agar (BD, India) medium. The antibiotic discs (BD, India) containing the following antibiotic concentrations (in μg) as per CLSI guidelines were used: ampicillin (10), oxacillin (1), cefoxitin (30), cephaloridine (30), cefotaxime (30), ceftriaxone (30), ceferoperazone/sulbactum (75/30), cefepime (30), ticoplanin (30), vancomycin (30), gentamicin (10), amikacin (30), netilmicin (30), erythromycin (15), ciprofloxacin (5), clindamycin (2), trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole (1.25/23.75), chloramphenicol (30), and linezolid (30). For enterococci only ampicillin (10), vancomycin (30), gentamicin (10), erythromycin (15), ciprofloxacin (5), chloramphenicol (30), and linezolid (30) were tested.

The inhibition zone diameters were measured to the nearest millimeter and recorded. Each bacterial isolate was classified as susceptible (S), intermediate (I) and resistant (R) to antibiotic according to the zone diameter interpretation standard recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)

E. faecalis ATCC 29212 and S. aureus ATCC 25923, S. aureus ATCC 29213 were used as quality control strains (Sanofiaventis, India) to check antibiotic discs and accuracy of the testing procedure. The identification of MRSA was confirmed by cefoxitin and oxacillin disc diffusion test as described by the CLSI. The identification of the van A genotype (van A or van B) for each isolates of VRE was performed by using multiplex polymerase chain reaction as described earlier.

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC): A total of 100 randomly selected isolates including 50 MRSA
and 50 VRE were included for MIC determination. Of the 50 VRE, 20 were from clinical samples collected during the study period and 30 were from 124 rectal swabs collected from newborns admitted in neonatal ICU and general maternity ward. MRSA and VRE were tested for MIC for daptomycin, linezolid, vancomycin and teicoplanin by Epsilometer test (E-test, AB Biodisk Solna, Sweden). The daptomycin E test contained a concentration gradient of daptomycin with a standard amount of calcium throughout the strip. MIC values were read as per the manufacturers recommendation and interpretation made as per CLSI criteria<sup>20</sup>

Susceptibility breakpoint for daptomycin was considered as <1 μg/ml for staphylococci and <4 μg/ml for enterococci, as recommended by the CLSI<sup>20</sup>. A linezolid susceptible breakpoint of <4 μg/ml was used for staphylococci whereas <2 μg/ml was used for enterococci as approved by CLSI<sup>20</sup>. <i>E. faecalis</i> ATCC 29212 and <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 29213, <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 43300 (MRSA) <i>E. faecalis</i> ATCC 51299 (VRE) were tested concurrently as quality control strains.

**Results**

Number of staphylococci and enterococci isolates from each ward/ ICU/OPD and their methicillin and vancomycin resistant vs sensitive patterns are detailed in the Table. Among the 442 non duplicate staphylococcal isolates included in the study, 326 (73.85%) were MRSA. Resistance among MRSA to chloramphenicol, amikacin, gentamicin, clindamycin, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin and lincomycin was 11.5, 11.6, 28.8, 29.4, 68.03, 77 and 54.9 per cent, respectively. More than 70 per cent isolates of <i>S. aureus</i> (73.33%) were resistant to oxacillin, cefoxitin, cephaloridine, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, cefoparazone alone or in combination with sulbactum, and ceftime (Table). All <i>S. aureus</i> isolates were sensitive to linezolid, vancomycin and teicoplanin.

The MIC values for daptomycin and linezolid for the MRSA and VRE isolates are shown in Figs 1 and 2, respectively. MIC for daptomycin among MRSA was found to be in the range of 0.064-1.5 μg/ml and for linezolid was in the range of 0.25 to 1 μg/ml and only one isolates had MIC 1.5 μg/ml. MIC for vancomycin and teicoplanin among MRSA was in the range of 1.5-3 μg/ml and 1.5-4 μg/ml respectively (Fig. 1).

Vancomycin resistance among 144 clinical isolates of enterococci was 14.29 per cent whereas it was 47.06 per cent for enterococci from 124 rectal swabs of neonates. Resistance to other drugs such as ampicillin was 59.20 per cent, ciprofloxacin 62.69 per cent, erythromycin 73.63 per cent and chloramphenicol 26.87 per cent. MIC for vancomycin and teicoplanin among VRE isolates was in the range of 64 to >256 μg/ml. Ten of the VRE isolates tested had vanA containing genotypes. Among VRE, MIC for daptomycin was 0.19-1.5 μg/ml but two isolates had MIC of 3 μg/ml. Linezolid exhibited very good activity against VRE as well (MIC 0.38 -1.5 μg/ml) (Figs 2, 3).

**Discussion**

Methicillin resistance was seen in 73.85 per cent of <i>S. aureus</i> isolates in the present study. We have earlier reported MRSA in the range of 6.9-80.89 per cent<sup>22</sup>. Methicillin resistance was often associated with simultaneous resistance for macrolide, quinolones and co-trimoxazole. Resistance for clindamycin among MRSA was 29.4 per cent as against 40 per cent reported by other group<sup>23</sup>. Clindamycin has been used successfully for the treatment of infection caused by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>% Isolates resistant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. aureus</strong> (n=442)</td>
<td><strong>E. faecalis</strong> (n=144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>67.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxacillin</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephaloridine</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoparazone</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoparazone+sulbactum</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teicoplanin</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>32.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>15.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netilmicin</td>
<td>16.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>32.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>21.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim-sulfamthoxazole</td>
<td>40.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ml for vancomycin. The isolates cannot be labelled as vancomycin intermediate S. aureus (VISA) as these simultaneously had elevated MIC for both vancomycin and daptomycin. Reduced susceptibility to vancomycin has been reported to be associated with reduced susceptibility to daptomycin. Diederen et al\(^5\) reported 7 of the 17 VISA isolates to have daptomycin MIC of 2 \(\mu\)g/ml and one isolate to have MIC 4 \(\mu\)g/ml. Others\(^6,7\) have also shared similar experience. Sader et al\(^24\) showed bactericidal activity of daptomycin against heterogenous VISA (hiVISA) isolated from blood. The use of vancomycin to treat infections caused by MRSA having vancomycin MIC 2 \(\mu\)g/ml also needs caution since therapeutic efficacy of vancomycin in such situation may not be rewarding\(^25\).

All MRSA and VRE strains were 100 per cent susceptible to daptomycin in the present study. The other group\(^6\) reported >99 per cent susceptibility of daptomycin against staphylococci from Asia pacific region. Activity of daptomycin against MRSA has been reported from India\(^13-15\). India Daptomycin Study Group\(^14\) reported daptomycin MIC in the range of 0.047-1 \(\mu\)g/ml.

A high level resistance to vancomycin was observed for 10 isolates of the enterococci (MIC >256 \(\mu\)g/ml) and the presence of Van A gene was documented in all of these VRE isolates as evident by PCR method. The enterococcal isolates with high MIC for vancomycin also had high MIC for teicoplanin suggesting cross-resistance between the two drugs. The increased MIC for vancomycin in S. aureus and vancomycin resistance among enterococci could possibly be due to increased usage of the drug at our centre. The presence of VRE among clinical isolates was 14 per cent but among isolates from rectal swabs it was as high as 47 per cent. The high percentage of VRE from rectal swabs suggests increased gut colonization with VRE among neonates. The reason for gut colonization appeared to be selective pressure of vancomycin. Further, it needs to be mentioned that clonal relatedness was not checked in the study for VRE from clinical isolates and rectal swabs isolates.

To conclude, elevated MIC for vancomycin among MRSA and enterococci is a cause of concern and daptomycin/linezolid remain good therapeutic alternatives to treat infections caused by MRSA and VRE. The only limitation of daptomycin is that it is not indicated for treatment of pneumoniae because...

---

**Fig. 1.** MIC values for vancomycin (50) and teicoplanin for MRSA.

**Fig. 2.** MIC values for daptomycin among MRSA (n=50) and VRE (n=50).

**Fig. 3.** MIC values for linezolid among MRSA (n=50) and VRE (n=50).
of its inhibition by pulmonary surfactants\textsuperscript{26}. Adverse events such as thrombocytopenia for linezolid\textsuperscript{27}, neutropenia and allergic reaction for vancomycin\textsuperscript{28} and rare effects on skeletal muscles for daptomycin\textsuperscript{29} have been reported. Therefore, clinician should be aware of side effect while using these drugs empirically. Also, strict infection control measures need to be emphasized to control the prevalence of MRSA and VRE in the hospital practice. The selection of antibiotic should be based on in vitro susceptibility and the hospital based antibiotic policies must be strictly followed and constant surveillance of drug resistance for all bacterial pathogens is needed.
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