Consistency of standard laboratory strain *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H₃₇Rv with ethionamide susceptibility testing
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Drug susceptibility pattern of standard *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strain H₃₇Rv showed discrepancy in minimum inhibitory concentration method for ethionamide and consistent results were obtained for the other second line drugs namely, kanamycin and ofloxacin. It is, therefore, necessary to revisit the susceptibility testing method for ethionamide for effective clinical management of patients with drug resistant tuberculosis.
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The susceptibility pattern for the standard laboratory strain of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H₃₇Rv was found to vary with respect to second line drugs, kanamycin (KAN), ethionamide (ETO) and ofloxacin (OF) currently being used under the Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme. As second line treatment was initiated in India during 2009, it becomes necessary to check the efficiency of the standard strain from time to time. Conventional minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and proportion sensitivity test (PST) methods were employed for drug susceptibility testing (DST) following standard procedures. The aim of this study was to check the consistency of the standard strain used as laboratory control in conventional DST methodology. At 35 different time points spanning one year time period, the strain was used as control during DST procedures. Susceptibility testing for ETO by MIC method indicated discordant value as high as 28.6 per cent (10/35) and 5.7 per cent (2/35) by PST method (Table). DST of ETO is known to be dynamic and it is tedious to deduce an accurate method for detecting resistant and sensitive strains. One probable reason is that, MIC method uses a high concentration of inoculum (4 mg/ml) than the PST method (1 mg/ml). Various other reasons for the development of inconsistency by MIC method may be primarily technical such as selection of representative clonal population, proper and appropriate preparation of suspension without any clumping, accurate inoculation (10 µl/slope) and preparation of media with the correct drug concentration. To a limited extent, presence of borderline/intermediate population may induce some discrepancy in DST procedures. This phenomenon is inevitable in bacteriostatic drugs such as ETO. The MIC level is very near to its absorption maxima; hence, inconsistent DST with respect to ETO especially by MIC method is well expected. Using PST method for DST for ETO, the consistency level was high compared to MIC method. Only on two occasions...
H$_3$Rv was found to be resistant. In PST method, inoculum is diluted and then mixed thoroughly to get a suspension making errors related to technique minimal.

ETO is a thermolabile drug and there is a speculation that the dynamic susceptibility pattern observed in solid culture DST may be the result of drug deterioration either during media preparation or during incubation in DST procedures$^5$. Problems associated with drug deterioration are expected in PST method because of the longer incubation period (6 wk) than MIC (4 wk). In contrast, the results showed a consistent susceptibility profile using PST method than MIC method and are in line with the earlier report$^5$. This can be attributed to the inoculum size which is four times more in MIC method compared to PST. High inoculum may introduce more clumps (if not prepared stringently) and as a result an increased inoculum is being delivered onto the drug containing slope. This may not be the cause at all instances as the technical personnel had been trained in DST methodology and monitored periodically.

The advantage in this study was that H$_3$Rv isolates used were primary cultures from a single feeder inoculum and stored in deep freezer. Fresh subcultures were made upon requirement. Hence, it eliminates the effect of repeated sub-culturing that could be a cause for discrepant results. This is an indication for urgent requirement of an effective method to define DST for ETO. If the existing methods for susceptibility testing are unable to provide perfect result, then modifying or optimizing the existing techniques either in the methodology or interpretation can be undertaken. When the standard strain was used as control in liquid culture systems (BACTEC460TB and MGIT960), the results were consistent and designated as susceptible at their critical concentration defined by World Health Organization (unpublished data). This indicates that there is a defect in the existing DST methodology using solid media.

One more reason for the discrepant results for ETO may be due to variation in standard strain used in the laboratory. It is believed that H$_3$Rv maintained in different laboratories across the world has a minimum level of genetic variation (<0.01%)$^3$. Hence, H$_3$Rv strains maintained in laboratory under controlled environment might not induce any spontaneous mutations that are usually expected among clinical strains in due course of evolution. But this theory was proved wrong by a recent study$^6$ where distinct polymorphisms were observed in standard strains maintained across six laboratories. The authors have concluded that even under controlled environment, H$_3$Rv is evolving evidenced by in vitro accumulation of genetic differences during serial passaging of cultures$^6$. Therefore, it becomes necessary to perform genetic screening of the standard strain at regular time intervals to assess the nature of H$_3$Rv maintained to exclude genomic variation as a cause for inconsistent DST results.

The results for the most important second line drugs, KAN and OF were in agreement by both DST methods. The drug KAN showed susceptible phenotype at all time points by both methods. Ofloxacin, at a single time point showed resistant phenotype by MIC method and showed concordant results by PST method (Table). The reason can be attributed to ability of the drug to provide a clear demarcation between resistant and susceptible strains. Such a validation proves to be important when detection of extensively drug resistant TB (XDR-TB) is required. Since, the laboratory strain does not show much of variation for these drugs by both methods, either MIC or PST method can be used for determining susceptibility profile to KAN.

### Table. Drug susceptibility pattern of standard strain M. tuberculosis H$_3$Rv for second line drugs using MIC and PST methods at 35 time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug tested</th>
<th>Susceptibility pattern of H$_3$Rv</th>
<th>Method employed</th>
<th>MIC</th>
<th>PST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethionamide</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; PST, proportion susceptibility test

Numbers indicate the susceptibility profile of H$_3$Rv at different time points during 1 yr. H$_3$Rv isolates used in the study were from a single feeder inoculum and stored in deep freezer. Fresh subcultures were made upon requirement.
and OF. Similar concordant results by both MIC and PST methods were observed with clinical isolates in the laboratory (data not shown).

It is, therefore, necessary for all laboratories involved in mycobacteriology procedures to have such check points on their standard strain H₃₇Rv from time to time as one of the quality assurance measures. If facilities are available, sequencing of randomly selected cultures and discrepant strains to identify the polymorphism can indicate the exact reason for such discrepancy.

Acknowledgment

The financial assistance provided by World Health Organization (WHO) through NIH/USAID and Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), New Delhi, for infrastructure facilities is acknowledged. The first author (LR) thanks ICMR for financial support as SRF.

References


Reprint requests: Dr Ranjani Ramachandran, Scientist “E”, Department of Bacteriology, National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis (ICMR), Chetput, Chennai 600 031, India
e-mail: ramachandranr@searo.who.int